

## Integrated Cholera Approaches Can Be Synergistic

For many years, there has been concern that providing oral cholera vaccine (OCV) may actually have a negative impact on water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) activities and other traditional cholera control interventions. The assumption is that people may feel protected by the vaccine and thus be less vigilant about hygiene, food safety practices, or water purification. Communities and governments may also feel less of a need to make the required investments to ensure sustainable access to safe water and adequate sanitation.

Cholera vaccination must be part of an overall strategy that includes other cholera control activities, such as water and sanitation improvements and increased access to high-quality health care. WASH interventions and cholera vaccination fit especially well together for two reasons: 1) They both prevent the spread of cholera, and 2) When implemented together, they work synergistically – with vaccination improving the effectiveness of WASH and vice versa. This is due to both how they interact biologically and the logistical efficiency of combining the two interventions.

## WASH Makes Cholera Vaccination More Effective Because the Severity of the Disease Depends on the Inoculum Size

A person develops cholera by ingesting the bacterium, *V. cholerae*. Most likely, this bacteria spread from another cholera patient whose watery stool was teeming with it. Cholera patients can excrete 20 liters or more of this watery stool during their illness – with each liter containing about a trillion *V. cholerae* bacteria. Due to poor sanitation in cholera endemic areas, these bacteria can find their way into drinking water or food, thereby infecting others, and continuing the cycle.

Many people who ingest *V. cholerae* become infected but do not get sick, or have only mild symptoms. However, if a huge dose is ingested, most people develop severe cholera. When a vaccinated person

ingests a huge number of *V. cholerae*, he/she could become ill despite having been vaccinated because the huge inoculum overwhelms the immune system. Whether or not a vaccinated person is protected from cholera illness is determined by the inoculum size. Good WASH programs can prevent people from ingesting a huge inoculum, and while it may not prevent smaller inocula from being ingested, the vaccinated person's immune system should be able to handle this smaller dose and avoid severe illness. Thus, by lowering the level of contamination in the environment, WASH interventions can increase vaccine impact by making it even less likely that the vaccinated person will get sick.

## Cholera Vaccination Lessens Environmental Contamination, Helping to Stop Transmission of the Disease and Improving the Effectiveness of WASH Interventions

Imagine that a cholera infected person visits a community and shortly after arrival, develops the illness. Consequently, he or she can contaminate the community water source. This situation can easily lead to an outbreak, as successive patients add more and more contamination to the community water supply. However, if the next potential "victim" has been vaccinated, he/she is much less likely to develop cholera. Not only does this person not get sick with cholera, he/she also does not excrete trillions of bacteria, and thus does not contaminate the environment. Therefore, if the community has been vaccinated, water sources are more protected and transmission can be stopped, since immune persons will not contribute to further contamination of the environment. The effectiveness of WASH interventions is therefore improved when vaccination reduces the level of contamination. If many people are excreting *V. cholerae* into the water, the WASH program may not be able to keep up with the bacterial burden. Therefore, while WASH programs can reduce the bacterial burden, they may not be able to eliminate it entirely. Thus, the fewer pathogens to clean up, the more successful WASH activities will be.

## How to Integrate Cholera Vaccination with Other Cholera Control Interventions and Programs

While OCV and WASH programs work synergistically to reinforce each other, the remaining challenge is how to integrate OCV with WASH programs and other cholera prevention and control strategies. For example, teams providing WASH interventions can reinforce messages about the benefit of cholera vaccination. Conversely, teams providing OCV should reinforce messages about safe water and hygiene, and both teams need to ensure that patients know where to go for treatment if they develop severe diarrhea.

While cholera vaccination in endemic countries is still relatively new and an integrated approach is not always used, the evidence suggests that if well implemented, OCV campaigns can reinforce WASH and hygiene promotion activities (see box).<sup>1,2</sup>

Additional experience is needed to identify best practices for integrating cholera vaccination with WASH and other cholera prevention and control measures.

### Integrating OCV with other control strategies: Examples from the field

- In the **Democratic Republic of Congo** the Ministry of Health encourages an integrated approach to fighting cholera. In Kalemie, cholera vaccination was included as a component of a large multi-sectorial effort to control cholera. With the assistance of Médecines sans Frontières and the NGO, Solidarités International, the efforts included improvements to the water delivery system, the establishment of a control treatment center, strong case management, and enhanced cholera surveillance.
- In refugee camps in **South Sudan**, where living conditions were conducive to cholera outbreaks, OCV was one of several interventions used to reduce the risk of cholera and other epidemic prone diseases. The other interventions included the distribution of soap and chlorinated water, health education, distribution of albendazole (deworming medicine) and vaccination against measles and meningitis.
- In **Haiti** during a rural OCV pilot project, vaccination teams were encouraged to share key messages about cholera prevention and treatment at every contact with the public, before and during the period of vaccination. The messages were disseminated through a variety of media, including, radio shows, sound trucks, town criers, local television, t-shirts and posters. Additionally, educational information was provided to at least one member of all enumerated households. The OCV and education campaign was associated with significant improvements in knowledge about cholera and practices related to waterborne diseases.<sup>2</sup>
- Women of reproductive age who were vaccinated with OCV during an outbreak in Forecariah, **Guinea** in 2012, received soap and chlorine tablets from the vaccinators, who educated them about the importance of their use to prevent cholera.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ivers LC, Farmer PE, Pape WJ. Oral cholera vaccine and integrated cholera control in Haiti. *Lancet* 2012; 379:2026-8.

<sup>2</sup> Aibana OI, Franke MF, Teng JE, Hilaire J, Raymond M, Ivers LC. Cholera vaccination campaign contributes to improved knowledge regarding cholera and improved practice relevant to waterborne disease in rural Haiti. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2013 Nov 21; 7(11):e2576.

<sup>3</sup> Luquero FJ, et al. First outbreak response using an oral cholera vaccine in Africa: vaccine coverage, acceptability and surveillance of adverse events, Guinea, 2012. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2013 Oct 17; 7(10):e2465.